

ISI Meeting Minutes
Conference Call
Wednesday, July 27, 2016

Called to order:

President Phil Barnes, 7:30pm

Roll Call:

Phil, Curt, Travis, Janet, Greg, Bobby, Nick, Jamie Don, Frankie, Elaine

Also on call: Damien, Brian Turner, Brian Hughs

New Business:

Meeting was requested to consider rescinding ISI's decision to award a facility fee to CRAA.

Discussion:

CRAA explanation: Megan will be taking over team in the fall. Clothing and web site are being updated. CRAA will transition to a booster club with the monies going to swimmers.

Questions/concerns and answers: What assets will Megan be acquiring? Will Megan have access to the monies? Will no funds go directly to Megan? As a non-profit, CRAA can give money to Megan. CRAA will continue to be a not for profit, but also a non-athlete organization. Which team attire will CRAA be wearing at the State meet? The new logo will be worn. CRAA can be a booster club and a sanction for a meet can be issued to an organization. Sections 502.3 and 202.4.1 were cited. When the facility fee was granted, was it known that CRAA as a swimming club would be dissolving? Not until after sanctioning. The facility fee money will go back to the CRAA club and swimmers. The State meet information isn't on the CRAA web site. It is on the new web site which has the same logo as Megan's lesson logo. Is the host team presenting themselves as another team? What is the intent of breaking away from booster club? Fundraising and off-setting of travel costs. Is the timing of changing logos questionable, particularly with the change being to the same as a privately owned lesson program with swimmers from other teams? This is possibly a recruiting concern. Have any athletes changed? When facility fee first voted upon, an assumption of who CRAA was is not fitting who or what CRAA is. Nothing in CRAA has changed yet, except for the web site logo.

Motion: To rescind the facility fee for the 2016 LC Championship Meet.

Seconded. Further discussion: Could the club go back to the CRAA apparel? Probably not. Since CRAA was approved expenses and a CRAA isn't changing what the intent of the money is used for, there may be no grounds to rescind the money. May be a matter for the Board of Review to look into the code of conduct rules. Can a team be requested to wear certain apparel? Vote: 6-4. Motion carries.

Phil will put in the protest paperwork immediately for this to go to the Board of Review. CRAA would like to know what the penalty is for not hosting the meet. The meet will go on as is. 102.8.2 refers to the logo rules. It would seem as if CRAA cannot sport the new logo. Should the facility fee money be set aside until the ruling of the Board of Review? Not necessary.

Motion to adjourn. Second. Passed.

Addition to Minutes (9/18/16): Since the required 6 days prior notice of this meeting wasn't given, these minutes were accepted under the condition that the vote be non-binding.