Proposal

Without an accurate copy of the by-laws or aquatics guide, I am not including specific lines cited in this proposal.

I propose to eliminate both athlete reimbursement and the LSC meet surcharges associated with athlete reimbursement. The LSC will still be allowed to charge a meet surcharge in order to cover LSC costs, and this number will be proposed by the budget committee and ratified by the appropriate LSC governance groups. In addition to this, meet host clubs will be allowed to add to this surcharge an amount that makes the total of the surcharge no more than $5.00 per in-LSC athlete, and $6.00 per out of LSC athlete. This money will go directly to the clubs and will allow them to use the money as they see fit (i.e. travel fund, facility upgrades, etc.)

Matt Webber

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Proposal #1 - End the re-imbursements that we currently give to both athletes and coaches for attending National meets.**
Rational - This would take the burden off of SE swimming and place allow teams to do as each deems fit to do with national level meets. The meet surcharge that is normally charged ($3.00 for SE, $5.00 for non-SE) would be cut back as well to allow teams to what they want with those extra swim meet proceeds. There are pros and cons of this proposal and it largely depends on what size team you have, but from a financial point of view, this is better for the financial wellbeing of SE Swimming.

**Proposal #2 by John Boxmeyer (Finance)**

In an effort to obtain a 12 month working reserve within the Southeastern Swimming budget I am proposing a new SES Swimmer meet surcharge of $1.00 per swimmer. The rate for non-SES swimmers shall be reduced to $2.00 per swimmer. This $1.00 per swimmer in addition to $2.00 from the current non-SES swimmer surcharge will be earmarked for the purpose of building the SES Financial reserves (or whatever it shall be named). These monies can be invested in rotating safe CD’s of varying amounts and interest rates to build further income and if it shall become necessary to cash them out by Board approval.

**Rational:** I think it is important and responsible as a governing body for Southeastern Swimming to take care in its financial responsibilities and build a 12 month reserve (6 month minimum) in the case that it is needed for any financial emergency that we cannot predict.

**Table showing the $1.00/$2.00 Proposal**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Ordinary Income/Surcharges | Actual 2010-2011 | *Proj.# Swim Meet Entrants 2012-13* | *2012-2013 $1/$2 proposal* |
| Non-SES Swimmer Meet Surcharge | $24861.00 | *8287* | *$16,574.00* |
| SES Swimmer Meet Surcharge | $67344.00 | *22,448* | *$22,448.00* |
| Total |   |  | ***$39,022.00*** |

*The above table shows the actual income received during 2010-2011 as provided by the SE Treasurer. The proposed amounts for the 2012-2013 year are just estimates and will fluctuate either up or down based on swim meet attendance. Keeping this in mind, the far right column shows the $1/$3 proposal that will be put into the ‘SES Financial Reserves’. This proposed amount is based on the meet attendance for the year and will fluctuate from year to year. As you can see, based on the 2010-2011 meet fees, there would be $****39,022.00*** *that would be able to be invested into a reserve after the 2012-2013 year.*

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Add to all meet information.

This meet will be conducted under the auspices of Southeastern
Swimming, Inc. of USA Swimming. USA Swimming technical rules and
regulations will be followed with the exception of items specifically
addressed in the meet information.

John Morse

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

These are current citation numbers for the old Aquatics guide. Do not have a current Aquatics Guide/rules and regulation to cite from.

**10.1 Amendments**

Any provision of these rules may be amended at any meeting of the House of Delegates by a

two-thirds (2/3rds) vote of the members present and voting. At **least thirty (30) days written**

notice **must be given to every member of the House** of any proposed amendment. Any

amendment not submitted or proposed in time to **give thirty (30) days written notice** to the

House may be adopted only by a nine-tenths (9/10ths) vote of the House present and voting

Change to 14 days written notice.

Change notice given to posted on the SES web page.

4.1

D. To obtain a sanction, a representative of the club hosting the event must send to the

General Chairperson a completed application form (see Appendix 3) along with the

following items:

1. The appropriate fees (see 4.1.E).

2. General meet information or invitation.

3. A list of events and the order of events.

4. The names of the meet director and referee(s).

Change:

1. Delete – (see Appendix 3 and (see 4.1)
2. Add - . Must include all the language required by USA Swimming
3. Add: (5) Must be received 6 weeks prior to meet or fined $50, which must be received before sanction will be granted.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**4.3 Specific rules about SES competitions**

14. Coaches shall display, in an obvious location, their USAS membership cards to

be allowed on deck at any SES sanctioned meet.

Add: Compliance shall be the responsibility of the Host Meet Referee/director – they may assign the responsibility to other meet personal (ie. Clerk of Course). \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**4.4 Final Results**

B. The final results must contain the following:

Change to : final results must be cleared by the registration chairperson before results may be released and all results must contain the following.

Return to having two lsc meetings per year for board of directors

Tom Healey

P: All teams must maintain a credit card or ACH account on file with the LSC's website host, Team Unify, for billing purposes.

R: This will improve the LSC's accounting practices, especially A/R, budgeting, and invoicing.

Tyler Kerns

­­­­­­­­­­­­­­­­­­\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Proposal to technical planning committee:

1. Officials Stroke and turn clinics will not be conducted at the
Southeastern championships.

2. The Southeastern championships will not be used as a training
opportunity for officials.

3. The meet referee for the Southeastern short and long course
championships will be selected by the technical planning committee.
The meet referee will be charged with staffing the meet with the best
officials available, and focusing on putting the best people in
position to make judgment calls.

4. The administrative referee for the southeastern short and long
course championship will be selected by the host club.

**SOUTHEASTERN LSC CHAMPIONSHIP MEETS TASK FORCE REPORT**

All items requiring approval of the Technical Planning Committee and/or the BOD/HOD are presented herein and should be considered as pending legislation.

**DISTRICT CHAMPIONSHIP MEETS**

After much discussion and review of several meet format proposals, the following points were agreed to by the Task Force:

1. Due to the size, geography, and different high school swimming seasons in various areas of the LSC, District alignment should be expanded to 5-6 districts, taking into account the above factors as well as club registration numbers within each new district area.

2. Each district will now vote on the following, which is to presented by prospective host clubs during the meet bid process: Dates of the meet

 Location

 Meet format and event order

Note that it is conceivable that each District could have an entirely different meet in place to address the needs of its particular locale and membership.

3. District realignment is presented in an attached addendum.

**SOUTHEASTERN CHAMPIONSHIP MEET**

**GENERAL OBJECTIVES / RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. Eliminate Small, Medium, and Large team awards

2. Do not score consolation heats

3. All 10 and under events shall be run as timed finals

4. Place the 11-12 800/1000 as the last event on Thursday evening.

5. Eliminate the separate 15-16 and Senior qualifying standards. Use a gradated scale in creating qualifying times. The actual break point for each event will be determined based on the criterion established for each meet

 Long Course: Time standards developed in order to keep the preliminary sessions at approximately 4 hours in length

 Short Course: Time standards developed in order to bring the total number of swimmers in the meet to approximately 800.

6. Relay time standards shall be established based on the 16th place finish of the previous year. Any relay failing to make the cut must prove its time or the team shall be fined $50. Proofs may be either an actual relay performance by the same members swimming at the Southeastern Championship or as an aggregate of individual times of those same members.

**TIME STANDARDS for the SCY SOUTHEASTERN CHAMPIONSHIP**

The proposed SCY time standards for 2013 were based on the following calculations/criteria.

In order to determine the number of swims which would need to be eliminated in order to eliminate 200 swimmers, the total number of individual swims was divided by the total number of swimmers, exclusive of relay-only swimmers. This produced an average number of swims per swimmer, which was used to analyze changes in time standards and their subsequent impact on the meet.

In the analysis, it was found that there was a greater swing in the number of males eliminated per change in place average as opposed to females. This makes intuitive sense, as the 15-18 senior girl's group has a more narrow time range in each event than does the 15-18 boy's group, due to physiological maturity issues.

The places used to determine Senior time standards, based on the TPC 3-year averaging formula, are listed below:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| EVENT | BOYS | GIRLS |
| 50 Free | 48 | 40 |
| 100 Free | 48 | 40 |
| 200 Free | 40 | 32 |
| 500 Free | 24 | 24 |
| 1000 Free | 24 | 24 |
| 1650 Free | 24 | 24 |
| 100 Back | 40 | 32 |
| 200 Back | 32 | 32 |
| 100 Breast | 40 | 32 |
| 200 Breast | 32 | 32 |
| 100 Fly | 40 | 32 |
| 200 Fly | 32 | 32 |
| 200 IM | 32 | 32 |
| 400 IM | 24 | 24 |

Using the above places for averaging, the following number of swimmers would have been in each event based on the 2012 psych sheet

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| EVENT | BOYS | GIRLS |
| 50 Free | 57 | 63 |
| 100 Free | 63 | 76 |
| 200 Free | 58 | 56 |
| 500 Free | 44 | 43 |
| 1000 Free | 40 | 23 |
| 1650 Free | 28 | 29 |
| 100 Back | 42 | 49 |
| 200 Back | 39 | 54 |
| 100 Breast | 29 | 45 |
| 200 Breast | 41 | 40 |
| 100 Fly | 60 | 47 |
| 200 Fly | 49 | 32 |
| 200 IM | 55 | 39 |
| 400 IM | 34 | 30 |

**Notes from the time standards committee:**

1. The current averaging protocol approved by TPC was utilized to determine time standards for the 14 & under age groups.

2. The three age groups that had the most swimmers in 2012 were: combined 15-16 and Senior boys (214), combined 15-16 and Senior girls (187), and 11-12 girls (138). Based on an average number of swims/swimmers of 5.2, 4.9, and 4.9, respectively, the proposed time standards in these three age groups would yield 125, 132, and 103 swimmers, respectfully. This is a net reduction of 179 swimmers.

3. Relays: It may not be necessary to implement the 16th-place cut-off for relays in the 14 & under age groups. Of those 12 relay events, only one (13-14 boys medley relay) had over 16 entries, and it only had 17. For the other 11 events, the time standards committee will be looking to TPC to either waive this requirement or suggest an appropriate protocol.

In the Senior age groups, there were enough entries in all four relays to determine/warrant use of a 16th place time standard.

**TIME STANDARDS for the LCM SOUTHEASTERN CHAMPIONSHIP**

The proposed LCM time standards for 2013 were based on the following calculations/criteria.

The following time line model was utilized for the 13 & older age groups:

 Each full day has 4 event rotations, therefore approximately 1 hour per rotation would be needed to keep the meet under the 4 hour timeline goal.

 The time to run one heat of each event was approximated and the number of heats multiplied by 8 determined the number of swimmers preferred to be in that event.

 Some adjustments were made for the 400 events, the 50 freestyle, and the 100 freestyle.

 The basic configuration in as follows, with number of swimmers per gender designated:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 50 Free | 72 |
| 100 Free | 72 |
| 200 Free | 56 |
| 400 Free | 40 |
| 800 Free | 24 |
| 1500 Free | 24 |
| 100 Stroke | 64 |
| 200 Stroke | 56 |
| 200 IM | 56 |
| 400 IM | 40 |

In an ideal world, this is how the meet would time out:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  # | heats | >>>>>>> | >>>>>>> |  | x time | Total | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Friday | 13-14 G | 13-14 B | SR G | SR B | Total | (minutes) | minutes | hours |
| 200 Free | 5 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 24 | 2.5 | 60 |  |
| 100 Breast | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 28 | 1.7 | 47.6 |  |
| 100 Back | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 28 | 1.8 | 50.4 |  |
| 400 IM | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 18 | 5.5 | 99 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 257 | 4:17 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saturday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200 Fly | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 22 | 2.9 | 63.8 |  |
| 50 Free | 7 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 32 | 0.8 | 25.6 |  |
| 200 IM | 5 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 24 | 2.8 | 67.2 |  |
| 400 Free | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 18 | 5 | 90 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 246.6 | 4:06 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sunday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200 Back | 5 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 24 | 3.1 | 74.4 |  |
| 100 Free | 7 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 34 | 1.3 | 41.6 |  |
| 200 Breast | 5 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 24 | 3.3 | 79.2 |  |
| 100 Fly | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 28 | 1.4 | 39.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 235.5 | 3:55 |

Using the actual number of swimmers who would have qualified (based on the 2012 heat sheet), the timeline would have looked like this (all partial heats were rounded up):

 Number of qualified swimmers Number of Heats >>>>>>>>>

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x time | Total | Total |
| Friday | 13-14 G | 13-14 B | SR G | SR B | 13-14 G | 13-14 B | SR G | SR B | Total | (minutes) | minutes | hours |
| 200 Free | 49 | 40 | 49 | 57 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 26 | 2.5 | 65 |  |
| 100 Breast | 46 | 30 | 57 | 59 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 26 | 1.7 | 44.2 |  |
| 100 Back | 38 | 37 | 65 | 66 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 9 | 28 | 1.8 | 50.4 |  |
| 400 IM | 32 | 20 | 37 | 35 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 17 | 5.5 | 93.5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 253.1 | 4:13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saturday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200 Fly | 28 | 30 | 38 | 54 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 20 | 2.9 | 58 |  |
| 50 Free | 29 | 38 | 73 | 69 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 9 | 28 | 0.8 | 22.4 |  |
| 200 IM | 42 | 28 | 60 | 52 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 25 | 2.8 | 70 |  |
| 400 Free | 38 | 26 | 39 | 35 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 19 | 5 | 95 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 245.4 | 4:05 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sunday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200 Back | 27 | 30 | 53 | 54 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 22 | 3.1 | 68.2 |  |
| 100 Free | 32 | 36 | 70 | 62 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 8 | 26 | 1.3 | 33.8 |  |
| 200 Breast | 46 | 29 | 46 | 50 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 23 | 3.3 | 75.9 |  |
| 100 Fly | 42 | 43 | 55 | 63 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 27 | 1.4 | 37.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 215.7 | 3:35 |

**Notes from the time standards committee:**

1. Except for timing system delays, the 12 & under session already seems to be following the 4-hour recommendation. The time standards presented are adjusted per the current TPC averaging guidelines. However, for the past two years, the TPC has elected NOT to change the current time standards, except for a couple of tweaks in 2011. *Since the timeline using the current standards is appropriate, it is recommended that the TPC again elect to keep the 2012 LCM time standards for 2013.*

2. Upon reviewing the number of 13-14 swimmers in each event and comparing it to the time line model, it was found that most events were in line with said model. Therefore, the current TPC averaging guidelines were applied to the 13-14 events as in the past.

3. Where possible, the results for the Senior events over the past 3 years were averaged to create the proposed 2013 standards, based on the time line model. In events where one year did not have significant entries, either a two-year average or an average of "close" places (ie: 38th place instead of 40th place, when 40th place was unavailable) was used.

4. Relays: One out of the 8 girls relays, but 6 out of the eight boys relays did not have 16 entries at the 2012 LC Meet. The time standards committee will be looking to TPC to either waive this requirement or suggest an appropriate protocol.